RECORD OF AUTHORIZATION FOR SEARCH

(See JAGMAN 0175)

RECORD OF AUTHORIZATION FOR SEARCH

1. At ________ [time] on ____________ [date], I was approached by ______________ [name] in his or her capacity as ______________ [duty] who having been first duly sworn, advised me that he or she suspected ______________ [name] of ______________ [offense] and requested permission to search his or her ______________ [object or place] for ________________________________ ______________ [items].

2. The reasons given to me for suspecting the above named person were:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

3. After carefully weighing the foregoing information, I was of the belief that the crime of ______________ [had been] [was being] [was about to be] committed, that __________________ was the likely perpetrator thereof, that a search of the object or area stated above would probably produce the items stated and that such items were [the fruits of crime] [the instrumentalities of a crime] [contraband] [evidence].

4. I have therefore authorized _____________________________ to search the place named for the property specified, and if the property be found there, to seize it.

_______________________________________  __________________
[Name/Grade/Title]      Date and Time
INSTRUCTIONS

1. Although the person bringing the information to the attention of the individual empowered to authorize the search will normally be one in the execution of investigative or police duties, such need not be the case. The information may come from one as a private individual.

2. Other than his or her own prior knowledge of facts relevant thereto, all information considered by the individual empowered to authorize a search on the issue of probable cause must be provided under oath or affirmation. Accordingly, before receiving the information which purports to establish the requisite probable cause, the individual empowered to authorize the search will administer an oath to the person(s) providing the information. An example of an oath is as follows: "Do you solemnly swear (or affirm) that the information you are about to provide is true to the best of your knowledge and belief, so help you God?" This requirement does not apply when all information considered by the individual empowered to authorize the search, other than his or her prior personal knowledge, consists of affidavits or other statements previously duly sworn to before another official empowered to administer oaths.

3. The area or place to be searched must be specific, such as wall locker, wall locker and locker box, residence, or automobile.

4. A search may be authorized only for the seizure of certain classes of items: (1) fruits of a crime (the results of a crime such as stolen objects); (2) instrumentalities of a crime (e.g., search of an automobile for a crowbar used to force entrance into a building which was burglarized); (3) contraband items, the mere possession of which is against the law (e.g., marijuana, etc.); or (4) evidence of crime (e.g., bloodstained clothing of an assault suspect).

5. Before authorizing a search, probable cause must exist. This means reliable information that would lead a reasonably prudent and cautious person to a natural belief that:

   a. An offense probably is about to be, or has been committed;
b. Specific fruits or instrumentalities of the crime, contraband, or evidence of the crime exist; and

c. Such fruits, instrumentalities, contraband, or evidence are probably in a certain place.

6. In arriving at the above determination it is generally permissible to rely on hearsay information, particularly if it is reasonably corroborated or has been verified in some substantial part by other facts or circumstances. However, unreliable hearsay cannot alone constitute probable cause, such as where the hearsay is several times removed from its source or the information is received from an anonymous telephone call. Hearsay information from an informant may be considered if the information is reasonably corroborated or has been verified in some substantial part by other facts, circumstances, or events. The mere opinion of another that probable cause exists is not sufficient; however, along with the pertinent facts, it may be considered in reaching the conclusion as to whether or not probable cause exists. If the information available does not satisfy the foregoing, additional investigation to produce the necessary information may be ordered.